

Tells the Facts and Names the Names CounterPunch

FEBRUARY 15, 1995

Written by Ken Silverstein & Alexander Cockburn

VOL. 2, NO. 4

The Bad, the Ugly and the "Good"

Head Hunting at the World Bank

Nothing has obsessed the World Bank more in recent years than upgrading of its image, a Herculean task which has taken on all the more urgency due to the very successful "Fifty Years Is Enough" campaign, organized by the International Rivers Network. Already World Bank press releases gleam with boilerplate about "sustainable growth" and environmental "sensitivity".

The Bank's public relations effort appears to have deeply impressed the mainstream environmental movement. On Feb. 13 fax machines in Washington's enviro/bureaucratic complex disgorged a two-page letter addressed to Vice President Al Gore and signed by Jay Hair, head of the National Wildlife Federation, on behalf of fourteen other green chiefs. They included the CEOs at National Audubon, Natural Resources Defense Council, and Environmental Defense Fund, along with Lester Brown of Worldwatch. Also on the list were the Lawyers Committee for Human Rights and, sad to say, the Institute for Policy Studies, which has actively supported the "Fifty Years Is Enough" campaign.

The letter was sent in wake of the news that the World Bank's present head, former Morgan banker Lewis Preston, has cancer and will soon retire. After some unctuous phrases about Preston having "served his country well" as Bank president, the signatories advise that there is a risk "of losing momentum for the reform agenda", just when the Bank is "beginning to make progress on some of the most difficult aspects of successful development, including the participation of local people in the decisions which affect their lives." The letter winsomely concludes with the plea that "the new presi-

dent should be someone who will 'reinvent' the Bank, and bring it into line with modern concepts of development, including 'putting people first'."

A note accompanying the letter informed signatories that a minor change had been made to the statement as originally drafted: the phrase "against the ingrained indifference and bureaucratic inertia of the institution" — which had appeared at the end of a paragraph lauding Preston's courage in his supposed assault on the status quo — had been deleted "because of objections that it was not constructive to the letter."

Such toadying correspondence notwithstanding, there's been no change at the Bank, despite repeated promises of a commitment to perestroika in its procedures. By any measure of economic justice the best future for the World Bank would require demolition of its Washington hq and summary dispatch of its senior executives to live in one of the numerous Third World slums that they have been responsible for creating over the past generation.

The day after Gore was sent this letter, *The New York Times* carried a story on the World Bank's search to find a suitable figure to replace Preston. The short list includes investment banker James D. Wolfensohn, the Treasury Department's Lawrence Summers, and the IMF's deputy managing director, Stanley Fischer.

As his present position as head of the Kennedy Center and past tenure as trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation suggest, Wolfensohn is a conspicuous figure in the world of East Coast philanthropy. As for his candidacy, the prime asset of this Australian-born financier and social-

(continued on p.4)

■ IN THIS ISSUE ■

"Remaking" the World Bank

• Gringe of the Nerds:
Top Greens
Grovel Gorewards

• Paul Volcker's
Lengthened Shadow

It's Them Durned Rustlers Agin!

• Akin, Gump and
the Plunder of
San Jacinto Savings

That Chase Memo

• Roctt Hung Out to Dry



Soul Brothers

"That's one of the great companies in American history. It is a very wonderful corporation; it does very interesting things."

— Rep. Newt Gingrich lecturing students in his college course, "Renewing American Civilization," about Hewlett-Packard, a company distinguished among other things for its munificent contributions to Rep. Newt Gingrich.

It's Only Money:

Akin, Gump and the S&L Crash

Last year, **CounterPunch** reported that the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC), the federal agency charged with cleaning up the S&L scandal, was suing Rep. Henry Hyde in connection with the bankruptcy of Clyde Federal, an Illinois thrift on whose board Hyde served. That case is still pending, and the mainstream press has demonstrated little interest in the fact that Hyde, the new head of the House Judiciary Committee, stands accused of negligence and breach of fiduciary duty.

Another powerful player implicated in the S&L debacle is Clinton's intimate, Robert Strauss, whose law firm of Akin, Gump represented some of the dirtiest of thrift crooks. Akin, Gump is, we have learned, being sued for \$44 million by the RTC over its role in the 1990 bankruptcy

of the San Jacinto Savings Association of Bellaire, Texas. The RTC's complaint charges that the law firm "aided and abetted a tortious scheme and was grossly negligent".

San Jacinto contracted Akin, Gump to serve as its outside legal counsel in the early Eighties. Between 1984 and 1990, Strauss's firm received \$2 million in fees from the S&L.

The RTC's complaint charges that Akin, Gump "aided and abetted a tortious scheme and was grossly negligent"

The RTC's suit revolves around a \$25.75 million loan which San Jacinto granted in 1985 to Worldwide Purchasing, Inc. The company used the money to buy 131,000 acres of land in Tennessee, Kentucky and West Virginia.

Unfortunately for San Jacinto, Akin, Gump didn't bother to tell the S&L that 50,000 acres of Worldwide's new land belonged to the U.S. government, in the form of a coal deed. Because the company was unable to develop much of its property, and because the coal rights could not be sold to a third party, the loan failed. Worldwide's land was sold at foreclosure and the company failed to repay its loan.

Of course, no bank makes a loan without collateral. San Jacinto had prudently secured a guaranty of payment and performance for the Worldwide loan from AMI, Inc., a company owned and controlled by Herman Beebe — a man who, connoisseurs of financial scandal may recall, was a central figure in the S&L crisis. According to Stephen Pizzo, Mary Fricker and Paul Muolo, the authors of *Inside Job*, Beebe's "influence in banking circles was so pervasive by the mid-1980s that he could be connected in some way to almost every dying bank or savings and loan in Texas and Louisiana ... [He] built potentially the most powerful and corrupt banking network ever seen in the U.S."

Beebe's standard operating procedure was to acquire S&Ls and then use depositors' money to make loans to himself and his cronies. One of his closest associates was Judge Edmund Reggie, a Louisiana powerbroker, thrift owner, and father of Victoria Reggie, Ted Kennedy's wife.

In 1987, Beebe was indicted for fraud, in a case involving \$30 million in loans from more than a dozen financial institutions. All the money was borrowed by Richard Wolfe, who raised money from institutions in which Beebe enjoyed "influence," and then funnelled some of the money back to his patron.

Beebe initially called the case a "bunch of bull," but soon cut a deal, pleading guilty to two counts of bank fraud. He was sentenced to one year and a day in jail.

Akin, Gump was well aware that Beebe was a man of uncertain character. Though his more majestic crimes were still to come, Beebe had already been convicted of defrauding the Small Business Administration at the time that the Worldwide loan was approved.

Yet according to an internal company memorandum, Akin, Gump higher-ups told Steven Anderson, the lawyer advising San Jacinto, "to leave the AMI guaranties out of the closing binders because a guaranty by a company associated with H.K. Beebe would be a 'red flag' to the regulators." By the time the firm informed San Jacinto about the hidden document, AMI was in economic trouble and was unable to perform on its guaranty.

The RTC also charges Akin, Gump with involvement in several other bad loans made by San Jacinto, including one in which land was transferred between multiple parties during a short period of time. The sole purpose was to artificially increase the land's value, and, not incidentally, to increase the size of loan proceeds from San Jacinto as well. The Akin, Gump lawyer who advised the S&L on the loan "knew or reasonably should have known that an improper and artificial land flip was occurring for the purpose of obtaining an inflated loan from [San Jacinto]," the RTC suit alleges.

Akin, Gump is one of Washington's most well-connected firms. In addition to Strauss, its senior partners include presidential golfing partner Vernon Jordau. We await with interest demonstrations of how zealously the San Jacinto case will be pressed. ■

Editors
KEN SILVERSTEIN
ALEXANDER COCKBURN

Production
TERRY ALLEN

Counselor
BEN SONNENBERG

Design
DEBORAH THOMAS

Intern
JOHN MCNEILLY

Published twice monthly except August, 22 issues a year:
\$40 individuals,
\$100 institutions,
\$25 students/low-income
CounterPunch.
All Rights reserved.
CounterPunch welcomes all tips,
information and suggestions.
Please call or write our offices.
CounterPunch, IPS, 1601
Connecticut Avenue NW,
Washington, DC 20009
202/234-9382; 202/387-7915 (fax)

Chase Memo Tumult:

Come Blow Our Horn

Our Feb. 1 report that Chase bank had called on the Mexican government to "eliminate" the Zapatistas in the name of investor stability caused an uproar in the U.S. and Mexico. For days, CounterPunch phones rang non-stop, with activists and journalists requesting urgent fax transmittal of our story and the Chase memo which contained the bank's suggestion.

Organizers brandished the memorandum at demonstrations in Los Angeles, San Francisco, Seattle, New York, and other cities. The story was covered by everyone from *Final Call*, which is published by the Nation of Islam, to *The Washington Post*.

On Capitol Hill, Rep. Marcy Kaptur (D-Ohio) held a press conference to denounce the bank. "Suggesting the killing of innocent people, throwing elections — none of this seems to bother Chase," said Kaptur, who called the memo an "amazing, troubling document." She added that "anyone who honestly believed that Wall Street's hands weren't all over [the Clinton bail-out] should take a good hard look at this memo."

Similar uproar took place in Mexico after *Proceso*, a major news weekly, carried a Feb. 13 story about CounterPunch's disclosures. Zapatista officials in the U.S. say that publication of the Chase memo was "a turning point," in that it was the first hard evidence which directly linked Wall Street to Mexico's economic and political crisis.

Chase tried a variety of tactics in seeking to defuse the ensuing PR nightmare. First the bank insisted that the whole thing had resulted from a copy editing error, and that Chase had intended to call for the elimination of the "Zapatista threat," not the Zapatistas. This tactic fell flat. Chase officials then placed sole responsibility for the fiasco on the document's author, Riordan Roett. In a terse official communique, Chase said that the opinions expressed in the memo "represent [Roett's] personal views as a scholar. They were not meant to nor do they represent the views of Chase." As

Roett is on Chase's payroll and the memo went out on bank stationery, this explanation also carried little weight.

On Feb. 16, Chase completed its distancing process from the memo. "Dr. Roett," announced Steve Rautenberg, a bank spokesman, "no longer has a relationship with Chase."

(Though his most spectacular blunder, this was not the first time Roett made a fool of himself in his role as omniscient advisor. Last year, he informed financial reporters that Venezuela would probably default on its Brady bonds. This prediction, which proved to be false, caused hysteria among investors when it was carried on the AP-Dow Jones wire.)

"Anyone who honestly believed that Wall Street's hands weren't all over [the Clinton bail-out] should take a good hard look at this memo."

— Rep. Marcy Kaptur

A final note: The Mexico City daily, *El Financiero*, reports that the former president of Mexico, Carlos Salinas de Gortari, has accepted an offer to join the board of directors of Dow Jones & Co., publisher of *The Wall Street Journal*. The offer comes at an opportune moment for Salinas, whose U.S.-backed bid to head the World Trade Organization lies in ruins amid the crash of the Mexican economy. Salinas's role on the Dow Jones board will be, in the words of *El Financiero*, "to utilize strategic information accumulated during his mandate ... to benefit the lords of financial speculation."

(The Chase memo is free for subscribers who send in a stamped addressed envelope. Any contributions to offset copying and labor costs would be appreciated.)

Our Jan. 15 cover story, "Crime Buster' Metcalf's Secret Boiler Room," also reached a wider audience. The article — which detailed how right-wing fanatic Rep. Jack Metcalf (R-WA) was elected by bashing prisoners even while secretly using inmates to conduct his campaign's telemarketing operations — was front-page news in several Washington state dailies. Thanks to readers from the Seattle area who sent us the clips.

George Howland Jr., who wrote about Metcalf's use of prison labor in *The Stranger*, a Seattle alternative weekly, sends us word that Washington state's No. 1 crime buster is a well-known crank. Metcalf spoke at the 1984 convention of the Populist Party, which four years later nominated David Duke, America's top Nazi, as its candidate in the presidential campaign.

Until recently, Metcalf was listed on the masthead of the *National Educator*, a magazine which regularly features racist and anti-Semitic articles. Even *The Wall Street Journal* has in the past denounced Metcalf's views, describing him as "a frequent speaker at right-wing rallies and conferences across the country, [who] keeps company with gold bugs, tax protestors and conspiracy theorists." Why the *Journal* should deride gold bugs is something of a mystery, since it has been an undying advocate of a return to the gold standard.

Meanwhile, *The Washington Post* reports that "the Central Intelligence Agency had a wider involvement in human radiation tests than it has acknowledged." The *Post*'s story, based upon a review of an "intriguing series of papers uncovered by" the president's Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments, included the news that the CIA once considered injecting its own agents with radioactive isotopes as a means of "substantiating the bona fides" of its personnel.

This "intriguing" news was uncovered by CounterPunch more than a year ago. Our Feb. 1, 1994 issue carried a story, "The CIA and the Problem of Identity," in which the memo detailing the CIA's mad scheme was reproduced almost in its entirety. ■

(World Bank, continued from p. 1)

ite is that his firm employs Paul Volcker, former head of the Federal Reserve and a man still revered by world bankers.

One estimate offered to us by a banking analyst is that Wolfensohn's firm and Goldman, Sachs hold between them roughly two-thirds of all official advisory contracts for Russia and Eastern Europe, helping arrange privatization auctions, drawing up statutes for Central Banks, and so forth. Since the World Bank itself has an enormous involvement in Eastern Europe, the installation of Wolfensohn as World Bank president would be, as our banking source puts it, "rewarding one of Wall Street's chief foxes by putting him in charge of the biggest chicken coop of all."

The second, well-publicized contender to head the bank is Summers, the Harvard *wunderkind* now at the Treasury Department as assistant secretary in charge of international finance. Summers is probably best known as the former chief economist and vice president of the World Bank who suggested, in a memo leaked to the press in early 1992, that Third World countries need more pollution, not less, and that cancer-causing industries located in the South pose no problems since shorter life expectancies there render inconsequential the

risk of cancers occurring late in life.

This laconic display of the moral inner life of a Harvard economist did little for Summer's reputation. Nor is the arrogant Summers popular in the new Congress. Along with the third candidate, Stanley Fischer, and with Ted Truman, staff director for international finance at the Fed, Summers was a major promoter of the Mexican bail-out.

Fischer, like Summers, is a former World Bank economist. Before moving to the IMF he had also previously served in the Reagan State Department. "He's an

By any measure of economic justice the best future for the World Bank would require demolition of its D.C. headquarters

academic who is completely out of touch with reality on the ground in the developing world," our banking source says. "He knows nothing beyond his econometric models." Doug Henwood of *Left Business Observer* considers Fischer to be the liberal's choice to head the Bank, dubbing him a "Gucci loafer monetarist rather than a jackboot monetarist."

Though a like-minded dark horse may yet emerge, these are the men who would lead the Bank into the next century.

The "reform" crowd would also do well to examine development strategies now being formulated by the World Bank and the IMF. At the heart of this enterprise lies the figure of Paul Volcker, who headed the Bretton Woods Commission on the future of these two institutions and shaped its final report issued last year.

The role of the IMF remains, in the gleeful words of one of the Commission's contributors, that of the world's "bad cop", disciplining errant nations that depart from the orthodox macro-economic policies customarily prescribed by the Fund, and which are responsible for so much misery in the world today. The World Bank "should continue to address some very broad questions that affect national economic policymaking," the Commission stated blandly, adding that these questions intrude into a number of

areas — governance, military expenditures, economic and social rights — in which the Bank might stand accused of "infringing [upon] national sovereignty".

The Commission proposes an increase in the Bank's private sector lending, which will allow corporate investors to take over activities previously in state hands but now privatized as part of the structural adjustment programs designed by World Bank economists. To ease this process, the Commission foresees the expansion of the World Bank's International Finance Corporation, which invests in the private sector, and of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency, which provides the safety net for the fearless crusaders of the free market.

The advantage of beefing up these two agencies is that the Bank's articles would not have to be changed, thus averting the awful possibility of democratic intrusion by Congress into the institutional procedures. Since the Bank's International Development Authority is funded by taxpayer dollars, its policies are open to congressional review.

We showed the green letter to a number of people who track the World Bank. The response was astonishment.

"Preston's record is appalling," exclaimed Juliette Majot, editor of the Berkeley-based *Bank Check Quarterly*. "He tried to stonewall the recommendations of the independent assessment of the Sardar Sarovan dam complex in India in 1992. This alone showed how incapable he was of providing any leadership. Any talk of reform at the Bank is nonsense." Another figure we asked for comment, and someone with first-hand knowledge of the Bank's inner workings, offered similar analysis. "If you were willing to give blood and sacrifice your career it was sometimes possible to slow the Bank's most destructive projects," he said.

It's hard to conceive why people call for a "good" chief of the World Bank, thus assisting in promotion of the myth that there could be — in existing world economic and social arrangements — a "good" World Bank. Even worse, signers of the environmentalist letter clearly imagine that Al Gore actually cares about what they think, a notion which dates from the 1992 campaign and which should have been laid to rest long ago. ■

SUBSCRIPTION INFO

Enter/renew subscription here:

- One year individual, \$40
 One year institution, \$100
 One year student/low-income, \$25
 Please send back issue(s) _____ (\$3/issue)
 I am enclosing a separate sheet for gift subscriptions

Name _____

Address _____

City/State/Zip _____

Payment must accompany order.
 Add \$10 for foreign subscriptions.
 Make checks payable to: CounterPunch.
 Return to: IPS,
 1601 Connecticut Avenue, NW,
 Washington, DC 20009

Chase Memo Tumult:

Come Blow Our Horn

Our Feb. 1 report that Chase bank had called on the Mexican government to "eliminate" the Zapatistas in the name of investor stability caused an uproar in the U.S. and Mexico. For days, CounterPunch phones rang non-stop, with activists and journalists requesting urgent fax transmittal of our story and the Chase memo which contained the bank's suggestion.

Organizers brandished the memorandum at demonstrations in Los Angeles, San Francisco, Seattle, New York, and other cities. The story was covered by everyone from *Final Call*, which is published by the Nation of Islam, to *The Washington Post*.

On Capitol Hill, Rep. Marcy Kaptur (D-Ohio) held a press conference to denounce the bank. "Suggesting the killing of innocent people, throwing elections — none of this seems to bother Chase," said Kaptur, who called the memo an "amazing, troubling document." She added that "anyone who honestly believed that Wall Street's hands weren't all over [the Clinton bail-out] should take a good hard look at this memo."

Similar uproar took place in Mexico after *Proceso*, a major news weekly, carried a Feb. 13 story about CounterPunch's disclosures. Zapatista officials in the U.S. say that publication of the Chase memo was "a turning point," in that it was the first hard evidence which directly linked Wall Street to Mexico's economic and political crisis.

Chase tried a variety of tactics in seeking to defuse the ensuing PR nightmare. First the bank insisted that the whole thing had resulted from a copy editing error, and that Chase had intended to call for the elimination of the "Zapatista threat," not the Zapatistas. This tactic fell flat. Chase officials then placed sole responsibility for the fiasco on the document's author, Riordan Roett. In a terse official communique, Chase said that the opinions expressed in the memo "represent [Roett's] personal views as a scholar. They were not meant to nor do they represent the views of Chase." As

Roett is on Chase's payroll and the memo went out on bank stationery, this explanation also carried little weight.

On Feb. 16, Chase completed its distancing process from the memo. "Dr. Roett," announced Steve Rautenberg, a bank spokesman, "no longer has a relationship with Chase."

(Though his most spectacular blunder, this was not the first time Roett made a fool of himself in his role as omniscient advisor. Last year, he informed financial reporters that Venezuela would probably default on its Brady bonds. This prediction, which proved to be false, caused hysteria among investors when it was carried on the AP-Dow Jones wire.)

"Anyone who honestly believed that Wall Street's hands weren't all over [the Clinton bail-out] should take a good hard look at this memo."

— Rep. Marcy Kaptur

A final note: The Mexico City daily, *El Financiero*, reports that the former president of Mexico, Carlos Salinas de Gortari, has accepted an offer to join the board of directors of Dow Jones & Co., publisher of *The Wall Street Journal*. The offer comes at an opportune moment for Salinas, whose U.S.-backed bid to head the World Trade Organization lies in ruins amid the crash of the Mexican economy. Salinas's role on the Dow Jones board will be, in the words of *El Financiero*, "to utilize strategic information accumulated during his mandate ... to benefit the lords of financial speculation."

(The Chase memo is free for subscribers who send in a stamped addressed envelope. Any contributions to offset copying and labor costs would be appreciated.)

Our Jan. 15 cover story, " 'Crime Buster' Metcalf's Secret Boiler Room," also reached a wider audience. The article — which detailed how right-wing fanatic Rep. Jack Metcalf (R-WA) was elected by bashing prisoners even while secretly using inmates to conduct his campaign's telemarketing operations — was front-page news in several Washington state dailies. Thanks to readers from the Seattle area who sent us the clips.

George Howland Jr., who wrote about Metcalf's use of prison labor in *The Stranger*, a Seattle alternative weekly, sends us word that Washington state's No. 1 crime buster is a well-known crank. Metcalf spoke at the 1984 convention of the Populist Party, which four years later nominated David Duke, America's top Nazi, as its candidate in the presidential campaign.

Until recently, Metcalf was listed on the masthead of the *National Educator*, a magazine which regularly features racist and anti-Semitic articles. Even *The Wall Street Journal* has in the past denounced Metcalf's views, describing him as "a frequent speaker at right-wing rallies and conferences across the country, [who] keeps company with gold bugs, tax protestors and conspiracy theorists." Why the *Journal* should deride gold bugs is something of a mystery, since it has been an undying advocate of a return to the gold standard.

Meanwhile, *The Washington Post* reports that "the Central Intelligence Agency had a wider involvement in human radiation tests than it has acknowledged." The *Post*'s story, based upon a review of an "intriguing series of papers uncovered by" the president's Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments, included the news that the CIA once considered injecting its own agents with radioactive isotopes as a means of "substantiating the bona fides" of its personnel.

This "intriguing" news was uncovered by CounterPunch more than a year ago. Our Feb. 1, 1994 issue carried a story, "The CIA and the Problem of Identity," in which the memo detailing the CIA's mad scheme was reproduced almost in its entirety. ■

(World Bank, continued from p. 1)

ite is that his firm employs Paul Volcker, former head of the Federal Reserve and a man still revered by world bankers.

One estimate offered to us by a banking analyst is that Wolfensohn's firm and Goldman, Sachs hold between them roughly two-thirds of all official advisory contracts for Russia and Eastern Europe, helping arrange privatization auctions, drawing up statutes for Central Banks, and so forth. Since the World Bank itself has an enormous involvement in Eastern Europe, the installation of Wolfensohn as World Bank president would be, as our banking source puts it, "rewarding one of Wall Street's chief foxes by putting him in charge of the biggest chicken coop of all."

The second, well-publicized contender to head the bank is Summers, the Harvard *wunderkind* now at the Treasury Department as assistant secretary in charge of international finance. Summers is probably best known as the former chief economist and vice president of the World Bank who suggested, in a memo leaked to the press in early 1992, that Third World countries need more pollution, not less, and that cancer-causing industries located in the South pose no problems since shorter life expectancies there render inconsequential the

risk of cancers occurring late in life.

This laconic display of the moral inner life of a Harvard economist did little for Summer's reputation. Nor is the arrogant Summers popular in the new Congress. Along with the third candidate, Stanley Fischer, and with Ted Truman, staff director for international finance at the Fed, Summers was a major promoter of the Mexican bail-out.

Fischer, like Summers, is a former World Bank economist. Before moving to the IMF he had also previously served in the Reagan State Department. "He's an

By any measure of economic justice the best future for the World Bank would require demolition of its D.C. headquarters

academic who is completely out of touch with reality on the ground in the developing world," our banking source says. "He knows nothing beyond his econometric models." Doug Henwood of *Left Business Observer* considers Fischer to be the liberal's choice to head the Bank, dubbing him a "Gucci loafer monetarist rather than a jackboot monetarist."

Though a like-minded dark horse may yet emerge, these are the men who would lead the Bank into the next century.

The "reform" crowd would also do well to examine development strategies now being formulated by the World Bank and the IMF. At the heart of this enterprise lies the figure of Paul Volcker, who headed the Bretton Woods Commission on the future of these two institutions and shaped its final report issued last year.

The role of the IMF remains, in the gleeful words of one of the Commission's contributors, that of the world's "bad cop", disciplining errant nations that depart from the orthodox macro-economic policies customarily prescribed by the Fund, and which are responsible for so much misery in the world today. The World Bank "should continue to address some very broad questions that affect national economic policymaking," the Commission stated blandly, adding that these questions intrude into a number of

areas — governance, military expenditures, economic and social rights — in which the Bank might stand accused of "infringing [upon] national sovereignty".

The Commission proposes an increase in the Bank's private sector lending, which will allow corporate investors to take over activities previously in state hands but now privatized as part of the structural adjustment programs designed by World Bank economists. To ease this process, the Commission foresees the expansion of the World Bank's International Finance Corporation, which invests in the private sector, and of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency, which provides the safety net for the fearless crusaders of the free market.

The advantage of beefing up these two agencies is that the Bank's articles would not have to be changed, thus averting the awful possibility of democratic intrusion by Congress into the institution's procedures. Since the Bank's International Development Authority is funded by taxpayer dollars, its policies are open to congressional review.

We showed the green letter to a number of people who track the World Bank. The response was astonishment.

"Preston's record is appalling," exclaimed Juliette Majot, editor of the Berkeley-based *Bank Check Quarterly*. "He tried to stonewall the recommendations of the independent assessment of the Sardar Sarovan dam complex in India in 1992. This alone showed how incapable he was of providing any leadership. Any talk of reform at the Bank is nonsense." Another figure we asked for comment, and someone with first-hand knowledge of the Bank's inner workings, offered similar analysis. "If you were willing to give blood and sacrifice your career it was sometimes possible to slow the Bank's most destructive projects," he said.

It's hard to conceive why people call for a "good" chief of the World Bank, thus assisting in promotion of the myth that there could be — in existing world economic and social arrangements — a "good" World Bank. Even worse, signers of the environmentalist letter clearly imagine that Al Gore actually cares about what they think, a notion which dates from the 1992 campaign and which should have been laid to rest long ago. ■

SUBSCRIPTION INFO

Enter/renew subscription here:

- One year individual, \$40
 One year institution, \$100
 One year student/low-income, \$25
 Please send back issue(s) _____ (\$3/issue)
 I am enclosing a separate sheet for gift subscriptions

Name _____

Address _____

City/State/Zip _____

Payment must accompany order.
 Add \$10 for foreign subscriptions.
 Make checks payable to: CounterPunch.
 Return to: IPS,
 1601 Connecticut Avenue, NW,
 Washington, DC 20009